Request for Initial Gateway Determination

Relevant Planning Authority Details

Dubbo Regional Council

Contact Person: Steven Jennings

(02) 6801 4000 steven.jennings@dubbo.nsw.gov.au

Planning Proposal Details

Complete Planning Proposal attached

Planning Proposal – Operational Review of the Dubbo Local Environmental Plan 2011.

Signed for and on behalf of the Relevant Planning Authority:

Mark Riley

General Manager

Request for Initial Gateway Determination

Instructions to Users

When forwarding a planning proposal to the Minister under section 56(1), the relevant planning authority must provide the information specified on this form. This form and the required information should be sent to your local Regional Office. Please note one (1) electronic copy and two (2) hard copies of the completed Planning Proposal must be sent to your local Regional Office.

Relevant Planning Authority Details

Name of Relevant Planning Authority:

Dubbo Regional Council

Contact Person:

Steven Jennings

Contact Phone Number and Email Address:

(02) 6801 4000

steven.jennings@dubbo.nsw.gov.au

Planning Proposal Details - Attachments

1. LAND INVOLVED (Dubbo Local Government Area):

Attached/Completed ✓

NA

- MAPS (If applicable 1 electronic and 2 hard copy)
 - Proposed zoning map showing the zoning changes to Land Zoning Map – Sheet LZN_007B (tagged 'Land Zoning Map – Sheet LZN_007B').
 - Proposed zoning map showing zoning changes to Land Zoning Map – Sheet LZN_008A (tagged 'Land Zoning Map – Sheet LZN_008A').
 - Proposed heritage map showing heritage changes to Heritage Map
 Sheet HER_007 (tagged 'Heritage Map Sheet HER_007').
 - Proposed heritage map showing heritage changes to Heritage Map
 Sheet HER 008B (tagged 'Heritage Map Sheet HER_008B').
 - Proposed biodiversity map showing biodiversity changes to Natural Resource – Biodiversity Map – Sheet NRB_008 (tagged 'Natural Resource – Biodiversity Map – Sheet NRB_008').
- 3. PHOTOS and other visual material (if applicable)
 - Aerial photos of land affected by the Planning Proposal
 - Photos of land involved and surrounding land uses
- 4. COMPLETE PLANNING PROPOSAL (1 electronic and 2 hard copy)
 - All matters to be addressed in a planning proposal including Director-General's requirements for the justification of all planning proposals (other than those that solely reclassify public land) in accordance with a 'Guide to preparing a planning proposal ' are completed prior to forwarding to the Regional Office in the first instance. See attached pro-forma.
- 4. PLANNING PROPOSAL HAS BEEN SUPPORTED BY COUNCIL

- Council has considered the written planning proposal before it is sent to the Department of Planning.
- Attached is Council's resolution to send the written planning proposal to the Department of Planning.

Signed for and on behalf of the Relevant Planning Authority

DATE: 27/07/17

PLANNING PROPOSAL – Operational Review of the Dubbo Local Environmental Plan 2011.

Part 1 - Objectives or Intended Outcomes [see Page 7 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

The objective of this Planning Proposal is to rectify a number of administrative concerns by undertaking the following amendments to the Dubbo Local Environmental Plan 2011:

- Permissible land use activities;
- Subdivision controls;
- Clause 5.4 Controls relating to permissible uses;
- Part 7 Local provision;
- Schedule 5 Environmental heritage;
- Minor amendments to land use zoning; and
- Minor amendments to biodiversity mapping.

Part 2 - Explanation of Provisions [see Page 7-8 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

The Planning Proposal seeks to rectify a number of administrative concerns contained in the Dubbo Local Environmental Plan 2011 by generally undertaking the following:

- Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Heritage Map Sheet HER_007 in accordance with the proposed heritage map shown at attachment 1;
- Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Heritage Map Sheet HER_008B in accordance with the proposed heritage map shown at attachment 2;
- Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Natural Resource Biodiversity Map Sheet NRB_008 in accordance with the proposed biodiversity map shown at attachment 3;
- Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Land Zoning Map Sheet LZN_007B in accordance with the proposed land zoning map shown at attachment 4; and
- Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Land Zoning Map Sheet LZN_008A in accordance with the proposed land zoning map shown at attachment 5.

Part 3 - Justification

Section A - Need for the planning proposal

1. Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report? [see Page 9-11 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

The Planning Proposal is not the result of any strategic study or report. The Planning Proposal is the result of an operational review of the Dubbo LEP 2011, which identified the need for a number of minor administrative amendments.

The Planning Proposal has been prepared in accordance with the Department of Planning and Environment's document 'Guidance for merged councils on planning functions', May 2016.

2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way? [see Page 9-11 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

It is considered that the Planning Proposal is the best means of achieving the proposed outcome.

Section B - Relationship to strategic planning framework.

3. Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the applicable **regional or sub-regional strategy** (including the Sydney Metropolitan Strategy and exhibited draft strategies)? [see Page 11 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the Central West and Orana Regional Plan.

- Is the planning proposal consistent with the local council's Community Strategic Plan, or other local strategic plan? [see Page 13 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]
 - It is considered that the Planning Proposal is consistent with the following key strategies:
- Dubbo 2036 Community Strategic Plan;
- Dubbo Urban Areas Development Strategy; and
- Dubbo Rural Areas Development Strategy.
- Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable state environmental planning policies?
 [see Page 13-14 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

A number of State Environmental Planning Policies are applicable to the Planning Proposal. It is considered that nothing in the Planning Proposal will impact upon the aims and objectives of any SEPP.

6. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable **Ministerial Directions** (s.117 directions)? [see Page 14-15 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

It is considered that the Planning Proposal is consistent with the following Section 117 Directions:

- Direction 1.2 Rural Zones;
- Direction 1.5 Rural Lands;
- Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation;
- Direction 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates;
- Direction 3.4 Integrated Land Use and Transport
- Direction 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- Direction 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

It is considered that the Planning Proposal is inconsistent with the following Section 117 Direction/s, however, the inconsistency is of minor significance:

- Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones;
- Direction 3.1 Residential Zones;
- Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land:
- Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection;

Section C - Environmental, social and economic impact.

7. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal? [see Page 15 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

The Planning Proposal is unlikely to adversely affect any critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats.

- 8. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed? [see Page 16 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

 It is considered that the Planning Proposal is unlikely to have any environmental effects.
- 9. How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects? [see Page 16-17 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

The Planning Proposal is not expected to result in any negative economic or social impacts.

Section D - State and Commonwealth interests.

10. Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal? [see Page 17 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

Access to Public Infrastructure has been considered in the Planning Proposal and it is considered that the Planning Proposal will not create additional demand for public infrastructure.

- 11. What are the views of State and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the gateway determination, and have they resulted in any variations to the planning proposal? [see Page 18 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]
- Note (1): Do State or Commonwealth own or have an interest in any of the land involved?
- Note (2): The RPA must list the State and Commonwealth public authorities to be consulted.

State and Commonwealth public authorities will be consulted in accordance with Gateway determination and will be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.

Part 4 - Community Consultation [see Page 19 of 'A guide to preparing a planning proposal]

Council will place the Planning Proposal on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and undertake consultation with the community as directed. Council will also undertake consultation with State public agencies as required by any Gateway determination from the Department of Planning and Environment.

Part 5 – Risks to the Planning Proposal. [RPA must identify possible risks]

NOTE (1): RPA must identify strategic and operational risks that could adversely impact the progress of the planning proposal and the making of the plan within the required time frame. Examples of risks Council should consider include;

State or Commonwealth public authority objection to the LEP

- o Community objection to the LEP
- o Time required to resolve public and or community objections
- Requirement to re-exhibit
- Requirement for a public hearing
- Missing Council meetings
- Delay in finalising the associated development control plan
- Department of Planning delay in resolving Standard Instrument policy and practice
- o Department of Planning changing Standard Instrument policy and practice
- o Council staff taking leave or resigning
- Council lack of resources (please specify e.g. Council does not have capacity to complete SI LEP mapping)

NOTE (2): If the RPA believes a risk will prevent the making of the plan within the required time frame the RPA should consider not lodging a planning proposal with the Department of Planning until the risk has been resolved.

Council has considered the potential risks to the Planning Proposal and considers that the Planning Proposal may have risks which could have a potentially adverse impact on the completion of the proposal within the required time frame, including:

- Community objection to the Planning Proposal;
- Missing Council meetings;
- Time required to address public and or community submissions; and
- Council delay in SI LEP mapping due to lack of Council staff resources.

Part 6 - Benchmark Timeframes for making the Plan.

Note: You cannot delete or alter any of the following statements except were directed to select an option.

- 1. The plan will be made within <u>6 months</u> of the Gateway Determination date.
- 2. The Planning Proposal will be exhibited within 4 weeks of the Gateway Determination date.
- Community Consultation will be completed 14 <u>days</u> from the last day the Planning Proposal must be exhibited
- 4. Public Authority Consultation will be completed within 35 days of the Gateway Determination date.
- 5. The RPA will request the Department to draft and finalise the LEP no later than 6 weeks prior to the projected making of the plan date specified in point 1.